

. .

. .. .

GRADE tables: Comparison of 2 doses of human diploid cell vaccine (HDCV) or purified chick embryo cell vaccine (PCECV) administered either intramuscularly (IM) or intradermally (ID) to 3 doses of HDCV or PCECV administered either IM or ID in people indicated to receive rabies pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) vaccination

NCIRS is conducting GRADE assessments in support of the Australian Technical Advisory Group on Immunisation (ATAGI) and making pilot results available on the Centre's website. Please read this material as a supplement to the <u>Australian Immunisation Handbook rabies and other lyssaviruses</u> <u>chapter</u>.

....

. . . .

2 doses of IM of ID HDCV of PCECV compared to 3 doses of IM of ID HDCV of PCECV for people who are indicated to receive rables pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP)													
Patient or population: People who are indicated to receive rabies PrEP Intervention: 2 doses of HDCV or PCECV Comparison: 3 doses of HDCV or PCECV													
Outcomes	Impact	№ of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Interpretation									
	CRITIAL OUTCOMES												
Vaccine-related serious adverse events (SAEs) Assessed with: any vaccine-related adverse event/adverse reaction that resulted in death, was life-threatening, required hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation, or resulted in persistent or significant disability or incapacity Follow-up: range 28 days–372 days	There were no vaccine-related SAEs reported in any of the trials. In the trial that reported numerical results, there were no SAEs in either 2 dose (0.0%; 95% CI: 0.0–1.6) or 3 dose arms (0.0%; 95% CI: 0.0–3.2). In one trial, 1 SAE (reversible diplopia and hemianopsia) occurred during the primary vaccination session 14 days after receiving the 3rd (final) rabies vaccine injection in a 3-dose ID schedule. This was deemed unrelated to the rabies vaccine study, as it occurred some days after receiving a measles- mumps-rubella vaccine in another medical centre (violation of the trial protocol).	1,606 (4 RCTs) ¹⁻⁴	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderateª	2 doses IM or ID HDCV/PCECV for PrEP likely results in little to no difference in vaccine-related SAEs compared to 3 doses HDCV/ PCECV PrEP.									



2 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV compared to 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV for people who are indicated to receive rabies pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) Patient or population: People who are indicated to receive rabies PrEP Intervention: 2 doses of HDCV or PCECV Comparison: 3 doses of HDCV or PCECV Nº of Certainty of Interpretation participants the evidence Outcomes (studies) (GRADE) Impact **IMPORTANT OUTCOMES** 2 doses of IM or ID HDCV/PCECV **Rabies virus** PrEP may result in neutralising antibody RVNA seroconversion rate at ≥7 days post-last PrEP dose, administered either IM or ID, little to no (RVNA) 2 dose HDCV/PCECV vs 3 dose HDCV/PCECV difference in RVNA seroconversion rate (SCR) (%) [RCT] seroconversion rate Quiambao (2022) n=228 1.089 $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ ≥2 years, HDCV, IM (RCT) n=115 Assessed with: WHO-≥7 days after the (4 RCTs)1-4 Low^{a,b} recommended RVNA end of the PrEP Kamoltham (2007)* n=100 titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL 5-8 years, PCECV, ID schedule compared n=100 (RCT) to 3 doses of IM or Follow-up: ≥7 days post-Soentjens (2019) **ID HDCV/PCECV** n=249 18-47 years, HDCV, ID last PrEP dose n=249 PrFP. (RCT) Endy (2020) n=12 18-60 years, PCECV, IM n=12 (RCT) The evidence is Endy (2020) verv uncertain n=12 18-60 years, PCECV, ID n=12 about the effect of **RVNA** seroconversion (RCT) 2 doses of IM rate (SCR) (%) Strady (1998)* n=83 15-65 years, HDCV, IM HDCV PrEP on [observational] n=32 115 (observational) Assessed with: WHO-**RVNA** $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ (1 20 50 70 100 ۵ 10 80 ۹N recommended RVNA seroconversion rate observational Very low^{a,b,c,e} titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL RVNA seroconversion rate (%) ≥7 days after the study)5 2 dose HDCV/PCECV 3 dose HDCV/PCECV end of the PrEP Follow-up: ≥7 days schedule compared *Dose 2 is administered on day 28 rather than day 7 as per current recommendations. post-last PrEP dose to 3 doses of IM HDCV PrFP.



2 doses of IM or ID H prophylaxis (PrEP)	IDCV or PCECV	/ compared to 3	3 dos	ses c	of IM c	or ID H	HDCV	or P	CECV	for p	eopl	e who	o are	e indic	ated to recei	ve rabies pre-	exposure
Patient or population: Pe Intervention: 2 doses of H Comparison: 3 doses of H	ople who are indica IDCV or PCECV IDCV or PCECV	ted to receive rabie	s PrEl	P													
Outcomes					lm	pact									№ of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Interpretation
RVNA seroconversion rate (SCR) (%) [RCT] Assessed with: WHO- recommended RVNA titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL Follow-up: 180 days	RVNA Quiambao (2022) ≥2 years, HDCV, IM (RCT)	A seroconversio 2 dose HDC 2 dose HDCV/PCECV 3 dose HDCV/PCECV	n rat CV/PC 46	e at 1 scł CCV	180 da hedule ' vs 3 d	ys aft , dose H	er the	e start //PCE(of the	PrEF	>			n=228 n=115	343 (1 RCT) ¹	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate ^{a,e}	2 doses of IM HDCV PrEP likely results in a small reduction in RVNA seroconversion rate 180 days after the start of PrEP schedule compared to 3 doses of IM HDCV PrEP.
			0	10	20	30 RVN/	40 A sercol	50 nversio	60 n rate (%	70 5)	80	90	100				

Г



2 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV compared to 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV for people who are indicated to receive rabies pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) Patient or population: People who are indicated to receive rabies PrEP Intervention: 2 doses of HDCV or PCECV Comparison: 3 doses of HDCV or PCECV Nº of Certainty of Interpretation participants the evidence Outcomes (studies) (GRADE) Impact The evidence is very uncertain about the effect of 2 RVNA seroconversion rate at 365 days after the start of the PrEP schedule, administered either IM or ID, 2 dose HDCV/PCECV vs 3 dose HDCV/PCECV doses of IM or ID HDCV/PCECV **RVNA** seroconversion PrEP on RVNA rate (SCR) (%) [RCT] Quiambao (2022) 58 n=228 Assessed with: WHO-≥2 years, HDCV, IM seroconversion rate n=115 63 591 $\oplus OOC$ (RCT) recommended RVNA 365 days after the (3 RCTs)^{1,2,4} Very low^{a,b,d} titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL start of PrEP Kamoltham (2007)* schedule compared n=100 5-8 years, PCECV, ID Follow-up: 365 days 35 n=100 to 3 doses of IM or (RCT) ID HDCV/PCECV PrFP. Endy (2020) n=12 18-60 years, PCECV, IM 64 n=12 (RCT) The evidence is Endy (2020) n=12 18-60 years, PCECV, ID very uncertain 45 n=12 (RCT) about the effect of 2 doses of IM **RVNA** seroconversion Strady (1998)* 38.5 n=83 HDCV PrEP on rate (SCR) (%) 15-65 years, HDCV, IM 100 n=32 (observational) 115 **RVNA** [observational] Assessed with: WHO-(1 $\oplus OOC$ seroconversion rate 20 40 60 70 80 10 30 50 90 100 recommended RVNA observational Very low^{a,b,c,e} 365 days after the titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL study)5 start of PrEP RVNA seroconversion rate (%) schedule compared 2 dose HDCV/PCECV 3 dose HDCV/PCECV Follow-up: 365 days to 3 doses of IM *Rather than being administered on day 7, as per current recommendations, dose 2 was administered on day 28. HDCV PrEP.



2 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV compared to 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV for people who are indicated to receive rabies pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP)

Patient or population: People who are indicated to receive rabies PrEP Intervention: 2 doses of HDCV or PCECV Comparison: 3 doses of HDCV or PCECV

Outcomes					I	mpact								№ of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Interpretation
	Frequenc	cy of so 2	olicited dose H	local a DCV/P	advers CECV	e even vs 3 de	ts, adm ose HD	ninister CV/PC	red eit ECV	ther IM	or ID,					
	Quiambao (2022) ≥2 years, HDCV, IM (RCT)	31.6 37.4		-	F		4						n=228 n=115			
Solicited local adverse events (AEs) Assessed with:	Soentjens (2019) 18–47 years, HDCV, ID (RCT)	43.4 51.8											n=249 n=249			2-dose IM or ID HDCV/PCECV PrEP likely results in a small reduction
reactogenicity for any injection site and/or local event	Endy (2020)* 18–60 years, PCECV, IM (RCT)	66.7 66.7											n=12 n=12	889 (3 RCT) ¹⁻³	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate ^{a,e}	in solicited local adverse events compared to 3- dose IM or ID
Follow-up: range 1 day to 7 days	Endy (2020)* 18–60 years, PCECV, ID (RCT)	90.9 91.7											n=12 n=12			HDCV/PCECV PrEP.
		0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	10	00			
			I	Frequenc	y solicite:	d local a	lverse ev	ents (%)								
			2 d	ose HDC	V/PCECV	∎ 3 d	ose HDC\	//PCECV								
	* Endy (2020) results in	nclude f	requenc	y of botl	h solicite	ed local	and syst	emic ad	lverse	events.						



2 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV compared to 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV for people who are indicated to receive rabies pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP)

Patient or population: People who are indicated to receive rabies PrEP Intervention: 2 doses of HDCV or PCECV Comparison: 3 doses of HDCV or PCECV

Outcomes						Impact							№ of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Interpretation
	Frequ	ency of	f solicit 2 do	ed syste se HDCV	mic adv //PCECV	erse eve /vs3do	ents, adr ose HDC	niniste V/PCE	red eith CV	er IM or	ID,				
	Quiambao (2022) ≥2 years, HDCV, IM (RCT)	28.1 35.7		F								n=228 n=115			2-dose IM or ID
Solicited systemic adverse events (AEs) Assessed with:	Soentjens (2019) 18–47 years, HDCV, ID (RCT)	11.6 14.5										n=249 n=249			PrEP likely results in a small reduction in solicited
frequency of solicited reactogenicity for any systemic event	Endy (2020)* 18–60 years, PCECV, IM (RCT)	66.7 66.7										n=12 n=12	889 (3 RCT) ¹⁻³	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate ^{a,e}	systemic adverse events compared to 3-dose IM or ID
Follow-up: range 1 day to 7 days	Endy (2020)* 18–60 years, PCECV, ID (RCT)	90.9 91.7										n=12 n=12			HDCV/PCECV PrEP.
		0	10	20 Erequency	30 solicited s	40 systemic ar	50 Iverse even	60 ts (%)	70	80	90	100			
	*Endy (2020) result	s includ	e freque	2 dose H ency of bo	DCV/PCEC	v a 3 d ed local	ose HDCV/I and syste	PCECV PCECV PMIC ad	lverse e	vents.					



2 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV compared to 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV for people who are indicated to receive rabies pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP)

Patient or population: People who are indicated to receive rabies PrEP Intervention: 2 doses of HDCV or PCECV Comparison: 3 doses of HDCV or PCECV

Outcomes	Impact	№ of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Interpretation
----------	--------	-----------------------------------	---	----------------

Explanations

a. Small sample size (<400); study may not be powered to detect a difference between groups and/or clinical trials are not powered to detect rare serious adverse events

b. Interval between dose 1 and 2 varies from the currently recommended interval. Rather than being administered on day 7, as per current recommendations, dose 2 was administered on day 28. (Kamoltham [2007] and Strady [1988])

c. Serious risk of bias in the domain of confounding

d. Inconsistent results, with one study reporting results in opposition to other studies (higher proportion post-dose 2 compared to post-dose 3) and wide range of values for post-dose 2 results

e. Inconsistency is N/A, as only one study of this design in the outcome

Abbreviations: AE=adverse events; HDCV=human diploid cell vaccine; ID=intradermal; IM=intramuscular; PCECV=purified chick embryo cell vaccine; PrEP=pre-exposure prophylaxis; PVCV=purified Vero cell vaccine: PVRV=purified Vero cell rabies vaccine (Verorab); RCT=randomised controlled trial; RVNA=rabies virus neutralising antibody; SAE=serious adverse events; SCR=seroconversion rate

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different. Low certainty: 7ur confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.



GRADE evidence profile

Evidence profile: 2 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV compared to 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV for people who are indicated to receive rabies preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) vaccination

			Certainty asses	sment					
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Impact	Certainty	Importance

Vaccine-related SAEs (follow-up: range 28 days to 372 days; assessed with: any vaccine-related adverse event/adverse reaction that resulted in death, was life-threatening, required hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation, or resulted in persistent or significant disability or incapacity)

4	Randomised trials	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Seriousª	None	There were no vaccine-related SAEs reported in any of the trials. ^{1.4} In the trial that reported numerical results, there were no SAEs in either 2-dose (0.0%; 95%Cl: 0.0–1.6) or 3-dose arms (0.0%: 95% Cl: 0.0–3.2). ¹ In one trial, 1 SAE (reversible diplopia and hemianopsia) occurred during the primary vaccination session 14 days after receiving the third (final) rabies vaccine injection in a 3-dose ID schedule. ³ This was deemed unrelated to the rabies study vaccine, as it occurred some days after receiving a measles-mumps-rubella vaccine in another medical centre (violation of the trial protocol).	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate	CRITICAL
---	----------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	----------	------	--	------------------	----------

RVNA seroconversion rate (SCR) (%) [RCT] (follow-up: ≥7 days; assessed with: WHO-recommended RVNA titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL)

4	Randomised Not seriou trials	s Not serious	Serious ^b	Seriousª	None	The RVNA seroconversion rate (SCR) \geq 7 days following the second PrEP dose of HDCV or PCECV, administered either IM or ID, ranged from 96.7–100% compared to RVNA SCR of 100% for 3 PrEP doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV rabies vaccine. ^{1.4}	⊕⊕⊖⊖ Low	IMPORTANT
---	---------------------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------	------	--	-------------	-----------

RVNA seroconversion rate (SCR) (%) [observational] (follow-up: ≥7 days; assessed with: WHO-recommended RVNA titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL)



			Certainty asses	sment					
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Impact	Certainty	Importance

RVNA seroconversion rate (SCR) (%) [RCT] (follow-up: 180 days; assessed with: WHO-recommended RVNA titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL)

1	Randomised trials	Not serious	N/A°	Not serious	Seriousª	None	The RVNA SCR 180 days after the start of the PrEP schedule was 46% (95% CI: NR) for 2 doses of IM HDCV PrEP compared to 55% (95% CI: NR) for 3 doses of IM HDCV rabies vaccine. ¹	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate	IMPORTANT
---	----------------------	-------------	------	-------------	----------	------	--	------------------	-----------

RVNA seroconversion rate (SCR) (%) [RCT] (follow-up: 365 days; assessed with: WHO-recommended RVNA titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL)

	3	Randomised trials	Not serious	Very serious ^d	Serious ^b	Seriousª	None	The RVNA SCR 365 days after the start of the PrEP schedule ranged from 7–60% for 2 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV PrEP compared to 35–64% for 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV. ^{1,2,4}	⊕⊖⊖⊖ Very low	IMPORTANT
--	---	----------------------	-------------	---------------------------	----------------------	----------	------	--	------------------	-----------

RVNA seroconversion rate (SCR) (%) [observational] (follow-up: 365 days; assessed with: WHO-recommended RVNA titre of ≥0.5 IU/mL)

1	Observational studies	Serious⁰	N/Ae	Serious⁵	Seriousª	None	The RVNA SCR 365 days after the start of the PrEP schedule was 38.5% (95% CI: 37.7–38.5) for 2 doses of IM HDCV PrEP compared to 100% (95% CI: NR) for 3 doses of IM HDCV. ⁵	⊕⊖⊖⊖ Very low	IMPORTANT
---	--------------------------	----------	------	----------	----------	------	---	------------------	-----------



Certainty assessment									
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Impact	Certainty	Importance

Solicited local adverse events (Aes) (follow-up: range 1 day to 7 days; assessed with: frequency of solicited reactogenicity for any injection site and/or local event)

3	Randomised trials	Not serious	N/A°	Not serious	Serious ^a	None	The frequency of solicited local Aes ranged from 31.6– 90.9%* for 2 dose IM or ID HDCV or PCECV PrEP compared to 37.4–91.7%* for 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV PrEP. ¹⁻³ A 2-dose schedule would avoid the adverse events of a 3 rd dose. * <i>Note:</i> Endy (2020) results include frequency of both solicited local and systemic adverse events. ²	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate	IMPORTANT

Solicited systemic Aes (follow-up: range 1 day to 7 days; assessed with: frequency of solicited reactogenicity for any systemic event)

3	Randomised trials	Not serious	N/Ae	Not serious	Seriousª	None	The frequency of solicited systemic Aes ranged from 11.6–90.9%* for 2 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV PrEP compared to 14.5–91.7%* for 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV PrEP. ¹⁻³ A 2-dose schedule would avoid the adverse events of a 3 rd dose.	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate	IMPORTANT
							* <i>Note:</i> Endy (2020) results include frequency of both solicited local and systemic adverse events. ²		



Explanations

a. Small sample size (<400); study may not be powered to detect a difference between groups, and/or clinical trials are not powered to detect rare serious adverse events

b. Interval between dose 1 and 2 varies from the currently recommended interval. Rather than being administered on day 7, as per current recommendations, dose 2 was administered on day 28. (Kamoltham [2007] and Strady [1988])

c. Serious risk of bias in the domain of confounding

d. Inconsistent results with one study reporting results in opposition to other studies (higher proportion post-dose 2 compared to post-dose 3) and wide range of values for post-dose 2 results

e. Inconsistency is N/A, as only one study of this design in the outcome

Abbreviations: AE=adverse events; HDCV=human diploid cell vaccine; ID=intradermal; IM=intramuscular; NR=not reported; PCECV=purified chick embryo cell vaccine; PrEP=pre-exposure prophylaxis; PVCV=purified Vero cell vaccine; PVRV=purified Vero cell rabies vaccine (Verorab); RCT=randomised controlled trial; RVNA=rabies virus neutralising antibody; SAE=serious adverse events; SCR=seroconversion rate



Evidence to Decision (EtD) framework: 2 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV compared to 3 doses of IM or ID HDCV or PCECV for people who are indicated to receive rabies pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) vaccination

SHOULD PEOPLE WHO ARE INDICATED TO RECEIVE RABIES PREP VACCINATION RECEIVE 2 DOSES of HDCV or PCECV FOR PREP AGAINST RABIES?									
Population	People indicated to receive rabies PrEP vaccination								
Intervention	2 doses human diploid cell vaccine (HDCV) or purified chick embryo cell vaccine (PCECV) PrEP [IM or ID]*								
Comparison	3 doses HDCV or PCECV PrEP (IM or ID)*								
Main outcomes	Vaccine-related serious adverse events (SAE)								
	Rabies virus neutralising antibo	dy (RVN	IA) seroconversion rate (SCR)** ≥	≥7 days after final PrEP dose					
	 RVNA SCR (%) persistence of i 	mmune	response (at day 180 and day 36	65)					
	Solicited local adverse events (AE) (up	to day 7)	,					
	 Solicited systemic AE (up to day 	(7)	, ,						
		,							
	*Intramuscular (IM), intradermal (ID)								
	**Seroconversion defined as the WHO-real	commer	nded antibody titre threshold of ≥0	0.5 IU/mL					
Setting	Philippines, US, Thailand and France								
Perspective	Individual								
ASSESSMENT									
Problem									
Is the problem a price	prity?								
Don't know	Varies	No	Probably no	Probably yes Yes					
Australia is not	• Australia is not a rabies-enzootic country. ⁶ However, bats are a potential source of lyssaviruses and a potential risk for acquiring rabies, and exposure to classical rabies virus								
can occur from	terrestrial animals and other mammals in ra	bies-er	izootic countries. Rabies is nearly	y always fatal once symptoms begin.7					
 People who wo vaccine as PrE 	rk with bats, laboratory workers who work v P.	vith live	lyssaviruses and some people wh	ho travel to rabies-enzootic areas are recommended to receive rabies					
People with one	going occupational exposure to lyssaviruses	are rec	commended to receive booster do	oses of rabies vaccine.					
There are curre	antly two available rabies vaccines (Mèrieux	linactiv	ated HDCVI and Rabinur linactiv	vated PCECVI) as ontions for rabies PrEP in Australia. Both currently h	ave				

• There are currently two available rabies vaccines (Mérieux [inactivated, HDCV] and Rabipur [inactivated, PCECV]) as options for rabies PrEP in Australia. Both currently have a 3-dose PrEP schedule.



Desirable effects									
How substantial are the of Don't know	Varies	s? (Note: Compared to 3 doses HDCV/PCECV)	Moderate	Large					
 RVNA seroconversion rates (SCR) ≥7 days after the last-PrEP dose were 96.7–100% for 2 PrEP doses HDCV/PCECV compared to 100% for 3 PrEP doses of HDCV/PCECV.¹⁻⁵ Quiambao (2022) notes that the non-inferiority criteria for 2 vs 3 doses of HDCV was a lower confidence interval (CI) of the difference in RVNA SCR of ≥– 									
5%, and it did not m may be little to no d observational study	5%, and it did not meet this criterion. ¹ The difference in dose 2 and dose 3 was –3.349% points, with 95% CI: –6.751 to 0.464. The evidence from the RCTs suggests there may be little to no difference in seroconversion rates 7 days post-last PrEP dose for 2 doses HDCV/PCECV compared to 3 doses HDCV/PCECV. ^{1.4} The evidence from the observational study is uncertain. ⁵								
 There is likely a sma HDCV/PCECV PrEF 	all difference in RVNA sero P (55%). ¹	conversion at 180 days after the start of the PrEP schedu	le between 2-dose HDCV/PCECV PrEP	(46%) compared to 3-dose					
The evidence regard <i>From the</i> participan PCECV, v seroconve <i>From the</i> 100% for More weig	 The evidence regarding the RVNA seroconversion rate at ≥365 days after the start of the PrEP schedule is uncertain: From the RCTs: There is inconsistency in the results. One study using ID administration found dose 2 had a higher seroconversion than dose 3, with 60% vs 45% of participants having RVNA seroconversion at 365 days after the start of the PrEP schedule with PCECV rabies vaccine.² This study also had an arm looking at IM PCECV, which found dose 2 to have lower RVNA seroconversion at 365 days compared to dose 3; this was consistent with the other findings, with RVNA seroconversion post-dose 2 ranging from 7–58% compared to 35–64% for post-dose 3.^{1,2,4} From the observational study: RVNA seroconversion at 365 days after the start of the PrEP schedule for 2 doses HDCV/PCECV PrEP was 38.5% compared to 100% for 3 doses HDCV/PCECV PrEP, but the evidence is very uncertain.⁵ More weighting was put on the evidence from the RCTs. 								
Don't know	Varies	Large Moderate	Small	Trivial					
 There is likely to be less AE overall with 2 doses of HDCV/PCECV compared to 3 doses HDCV/PCECV, as there are fewer vaccine doses being administered. No vaccine-related SAE occurred with either 2-dose HDCV/PCECV or 3-dose HDCV/PCECV.¹⁴ Undesirable effects of local and systemic adverse events were assessed in three RCTs included in the GRADE:¹⁻³ Solicited local AE are likely slightly reduced with 2-dose HDCV/PCECV PrEP (31.6–90.9%) compared to 3-dose HDCV/PCECV PrEP (37.4–91.7%). Solicited systemic AE are likely slightly reduced with 2-dose HDCV/PCECV PrEP (11.6–90.9%) compared to 3-dose HDCV/PCECV PrEP (14.5–91.7%). The RCT also found no immediate AE (first 30 minutes after vaccination) reported following either 2 doses of HDCV/PCECV PrEP or 3 doses of HDCV/PCECV PrEP.¹ One RCT reported that overall AE were higher in the ID arms compared to the IM arms of the study.² 									



Certainty of evidence									
What is the overall ce	ertainty of t	Nerview		Low	Mo	dorato	High		
No included studies		Very IOW		LUW	IVIO		riigii		
The certainty of evidence is low. Of the eight outcomes evaluated, the certainty of evidence was moderate for four, low for one and very low for three									
The outcomes	hat were ra	ited as moderate were rated a	s such due to impre	cision as mo	st studies had small (<400)) sample sizes a	nd may not be now	ered to detect a	
difference betwe	difference between 2 doses HDCV/PCECV and 3 doses HDCV/PCECV								
The outcomes the	hat had low	or very low certainty of evide	nce were downgrad	ed due to imr	recision (with the same ra	ationale as for mo	derate above) for	risk of bias in the	
confounding dor	main, indire	ectness due to variation in dos	e interval and incons	sistency.					
 There were no d 	data compa	aring the vaccine schedules in	'healthy' populations	s compared v	vith immunocompromised	populations.			
RCTs that meas	sure the eff	icacy of rabies vaccine are no	t possible, and much	h of the evide	nce is therefore reliant on	immunogenicity	outcomes. There m	av be an extent to	
which immunolo	aic 'correla	ates of protection' may not fully	predict protection.			initiality			
	gie conoic		, p. callet p. c. contain						
Values									
Is there important une	certainty al	bout or variability in how much	people value the m	ain outcomes	?				
Important uncertainty	/	Possibly important ur	certainty Probab	oly no importa	nt uncertainty or variability	у	No important u	incertainty or variability	
		or variability							
• There is unlikely	/ to be imp	ortant uncertainty in how peop	le value protection a	against rabies					
No research was	s identified	in the search that addresses	this specifically	gamerrabied	•				
Rabies PrEP val	ccination is	s only routinely recommended	for people at high o	ccupational ri	sk or for some travellers to	o rabies-enzootic	regions		
These populatio	ons may an	preciate a shorter series of 2 of	loses HDCV/PCEC	V that require	s fewer vaccines is less e	expensive/has fev	ver out-of-pocket c	osts and likely has the	
same safety pro	file and pro	presides the same immune resp	onse as the currently	v recommend	ed 3-dose PrEP schedule	of HDCV/PCEC	V.		
 There were no d 	data compa	aring the vaccine schedules in	'healthy' populations	s with those i	n immunocompromised po	opulations.			
			noulling population			spalatorio.			
Balance of effects									
Does the balance bet	tween desi	rable and undesirable effects	favour the intervention	on or the con	parison?				
Don't know	Varies	Favours the comparison	Probably favours t	he	Does not favour either th	e Prol	bably favours the	Favours the	
<u> </u>			comparison		Intervention or the compa	arison inte	vention	Intervention	
• There may be little to no difference in RVNA seroconversion rates >7 days post-last PrEP dose for 2 doses HDCV/PCECV (97.6-100%) compared to 3 doses HDCV/PCECV									
(100%).1-5	(100%). ¹⁻⁵								
• There is likely a	small diffe	rence in RVNA seroconversion	n at 180 days after t	he start of the	PrEP schedule between	2-dose HDCV/P	CECV PrEP (46%)	compared to 3-dose	
HDCV/PCECV F	PrEP (55%). ¹							
There is differing	g and unce	rtain evidence regarding the F	RVNA seroconversio	on rate at ≥36	5 days after the start of the	e PrEP schedule	. One RCT showed	RVNA seroconversion	
at 365 days afte	er the start of	of the PrEP schedule to be hig	her for 2-dose ID P	CECV PrEP (60%) compared to <u>3</u> -dose	e ID PCECV PrEI	P (45%). ² This stud	y also had an arm	



looking at IM PCECV, which found dose 2 to have lower RVNA seroconversion at 365 days compared to dose 3; this was consistent with the other RCT findings, with 2-dose PrEP RVNA seroconversion ranging from 7–60% compared to 35–64% for 3-dose PrEP at 365 days or more after the start of the PrEP schedule. RVNA seroconversion at 365 days after the start of the PrEP schedule in the observational study for 2-dose HDCV/PCECV PrEP was 38.5% compared to 100% for 3-dose HDCV/PCECV PrEP, but the evidence is very uncertain.⁵

- No vaccine-related SAE occurred with either 2-dose HDCV/PCECV or 3-dose HDCV/PCECV rabies PrEP vaccination.¹⁻⁴
- Other undesirable effects, such as solicited local and systemic AE, are minor and 2 doses HDCV/PCECV likely slightly reduces, or results in little to no difference in, undesirable effects compared to 3 doses HDCV/PCECV.¹⁻³
- There is likely to be less AE overall with 2 doses of HDCV/PCECV compared to 3 doses HDCV/PCECV, as there are fewer vaccine doses being administered.

Acceptability Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders? Don't know No Probably no Probably yes Yes Varies No direct evidence was identified for this issue. • Employers and employees at workplaces of high occupational risk, some travellers to rabies-enzootic regions, and travel medicine providers and medical associations are ٠ likely the main stakeholders impacted. No direct evidence was identified on the acceptability of 2 doses HDCV/PCECV to these stakeholders. However, the populations remain the same, and a shorter 2-dose series may be appreciated by the populations, clinical providers and public health officials. There is likely to ٠ be minimal impact from changing the current rabies PrEP schedule to 2 doses. The simpler and less expensive 2-dose vaccine schedule may be more acceptable to populations recommended for rabies PrEP vaccination and to clinical providers. It is . easier to schedule appointments for 2 doses than for 3 doses before travel and before the start of high-risk activities.7 Providers' familiarity with HDCV/PCECV as a rabies PrEP vaccine may make this vaccine acceptable. • There were no data comparing the vaccine schedules in 'healthy' populations with those in immunocompromised populations. . Feasibility Is the intervention feasible to implement? Don't know Varies No Probably no Probably yes Yes No direct evidence was identified for this issue. Rabies PrEP vaccination is only routinely recommended for people at high occupational risk or for some travellers to rabies-enzootic regions. . No barriers are expected in implementing a 2-dose HDCV/PCECV PrEP schedule compared to the current 3-dose HDCV/PCECV PrEP schedule. In cases where it is difficult ٠ to ensure 3 doses are administered before travel or high-risk activities, a shorter 2-dose series will be easier to implement and is feasible.7 A 2-dose PrEP schedule is likely more feasible – it is simpler and less expensive, and less time needed to implement and administer it.7 .

- Vaccination providers may already be familiar with the vaccine and have stock of the vaccine, making it feasible to implement it into the current rabies PrEP schedule.
- Additional guidance will need to be provided for rabies PrEP vaccination in immunocompromised populations, as there were no data comparing these vaccine schedules in 'healthy' populations with those in immunocompromised populations.



References

1. Quiambao BP, Lim JG, Bosch Castells V, et al. One-week intramuscular or intradermal pre-exposure prophylaxis with human diploid cell vaccine or Vero cell rabies vaccine, followed by simulated post-exposure prophylaxis at one year: A phase III, open-label, randomized, controlled trial to assess immunogenicity and safety. *Vaccine* 2022;40:5347-55

2. Endy TP, Keiser PB, Wang D, et al. Serologic response of 2 versus 3 doses and intradermal versus intramuscular administration of a licensed rabies vaccine for preexposure prophylaxis. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2020;221(9):1494-8

3. Soentjens P, Andries P, Aerssens A, et al. Preexposure intradermal rabies vaccination: A noninferiority trial in healthy adults on shortening the vaccination schedule from 28 to 7 days. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2019;68:607-14

4. Kamoltham T, Thinyounyong W, Phongchamnaphai P, et al. Pre-exposure rabies vaccination using purified chick embryo cell rabies vaccine intradermally is immunogenic and safe. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2007;151:173-7

5. Strady A, Lang J, Lienard M, et al. Antibody persistence following preexposure regimens of cell-culture rabies vaccines: 10-year follow-up and proposal for a new booster policy. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1998;177:1290-5

6. Australian Technical Advisory Group on Immunisation. *Australian Immunisation Handbook*. Canberra: Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care; 2024. Available from: <u>https://immunisationhandbook.health.gov.au/</u>

7. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). ACIP Evidence to Recommendations for rabies pre-exposure prophylaxis with a 2-dose schedule. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2022. Available from: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/recs/grade/rabies-2-dose-etr.html</u> (Accessed 27 April 2023).